

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)
Chief, Criminal Division

TAREK J. HELOU (CABN 218225)
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
San Francisco, California 94102
Telephone: (415) 436-7071
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234
Tarek.J.Helou@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	CR No. 08-70183 JCS
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
)	EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
v.)	
)	
PEK HOON MARGARET LIM,)	
)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

On April 16, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for a status conference. At that time, the parties requested, and the Court agreed, to set the date for the defendant's preliminary hearing and arraignment on May 7, 2008. The parties further stipulated that pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure ("FRCP") 5.1(d), the time limits set forth in FRCP 5.1(c) be excluded from April 17, 2008, through May 5, 2008. The parties agree that, taking into

//

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases, good cause exists for this
2 extension.

3 The defendant also agrees to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable under
4 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the continuance was the reasonable time
5 necessary for continuity of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also
6 agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best
7 interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

8 SO STIPULATED:

9
10 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

11
12 DATED: April 30, 2008

13 /s/
TAREK J. HELOU
Assistant United States Attorney


14
15 DATED: April 30, 2008

16 /s/
GEOFFREY HANSEN
Attorney for Defendant Margaret Pek Hoon Lim

17
18 For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that exclusion of time from April 16, 2008
19 through May 7, 2008 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance
20 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161
21 (h)(8)(A); FRCP 5.1(d). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the
22 defendant continuity of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C.
23 §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

24
25 SO ORDERED.

26
27 DATED: April 30, 2008

28 
THE HONORABLE JAMES LARSON
United States Magistrate Judge